#### SHG from bulk and surface of nanoparticle composites

Theory: W. Luis Mochán,<sup>1</sup> Jesús Maytorena,<sup>1,2</sup> Bernardo S. Mendoza<sup>3</sup>, Vera L. Brudny,<sup>4</sup> Experiment: M. Downer,<sup>5</sup> L. Sun, P. Figliozzi,<sup>5</sup> Y. Q. An,<sup>5</sup> Y. Jiang,<sup>5</sup> P. T. Wilson,<sup>5</sup> N. Matlis,<sup>5</sup> B. Mattern<sup>5</sup>, C. W. White,<sup>6</sup> S. P. Withrow<sup>6</sup>



#### **Second Harmonic Generation**



 $ec{P}(ec{2}\omega)\proptoec{E}(\omega)ec{E}(\omega)$ 

## SHG and Symmetry



 $\vec{P}^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)} \vec{E} \vec{E}$ 

After an inversion

$$-\vec{P}^{(2)} = \chi_I^{(2)}(-\vec{E})(-\vec{E})$$

 $Centrosymmetry \Rightarrow$ 

$$\chi_I^{(2)} = \chi^{(2)}$$

$$\implies \vec{P}^{(2)} = 0, \quad \chi^{(2)} = 0$$

## Centrosymmetry and Surfaces



Surfaces are not centrosymmetric!

# SHG and Surfaces



Dipolar SHG  $P_i^{(2)} = \chi_{ijk} E_j E_k$  comes from the surface.

## SHG and Surfaces



Dipolar SHG  $P_i^{(2)} = \chi_{ijk} E_j E_k$  comes from the surface. There might be SHG from bulk... but it is *multipolar* 

$$P_i^{(2)} = \chi_{ijkl} E_j \partial_k E_l.$$

#### Buried interfaces: nanoparticles



#### Observe interfaces with SHG



# Experiment



Y. Jiang, P. T. Wilson, M. C. Downer, C. W. White, and S. P. Withrow, Appl. Phys. Lett. **78**, 766 (2001).

- Signal comes from nanospheres.
- Interface sensitive (annealed in Ar vs. Ar/H<sub>2</sub>).
- Forward SHG.











WL Mochán, IFUNAM, 22/IX/05 – p.8





WL Mochán, IFUNAM, 22/IX/05 – p.8









WL Mochán, IFUNAM, 22/IX/05 – p.8





WL Mochán, IFUNAM, 22/IX/05 – p.8












































































 $\chi_{\perp\parallel\parallel}\propto f$ 





• Centrosymmetry is locally lost...



• Centrosymmetry is locally lost...

- but globally recovered.
- Total dipole is null...



• Centrosymmetry is locally lost...

- but globally recovered.
- Total dipole is null...
- unless field is inhomogeneous.

$$\vec{p} = \gamma^e \vec{E} \cdot \nabla \vec{E} + \gamma^m \vec{E} \times (\nabla \times \vec{E})$$

$$\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{Q} = \gamma^q \vec{E} \vec{E}$$
  
 $a, b, f, d \longrightarrow \gamma^e, \gamma^m, \gamma^q$ 

#### Radiation patterns

No forward radiation and wide distribution vs. Narrow distribution along forward direction!





### SHG from composite film





# Theory

$$\vec{P}^{nl} = n_s \vec{p}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{6} \nabla \cdot n_s \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{Q}^{(2)}$$
$$= \Gamma \nabla E^2 + \Delta' \vec{E} \cdot \nabla \vec{E}$$
$$\Gamma = \frac{n_b}{18} (9\gamma^m + \gamma^q - 3\tilde{\gamma}^q)$$
$$\Delta' \equiv n_b (\gamma^e - \gamma^m - \gamma^q/6),$$
$$a, b, f, d \longrightarrow \gamma^e, \gamma^m, \gamma^q$$

$$\implies \vec{j}^{(2)}$$

$$\implies \vec{A}^{(2)}$$

$$\implies \vec{E}^{(2)}, \vec{B}^{(2)}$$

$$\implies \vec{S}^{(2)}$$

$$\implies \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}^2} \frac{d\mathcal{P}^{(2)}}{d\Omega}$$

$$\implies \mathcal{E}$$

#### Angular distribution



Figliozzi et al., PRL 94, 047401 (2005).

#### Efficiency

•  $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{P}^{(2)}/\mathcal{P}^2$ 

- $I^{(2)} \propto I^2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{(2)} \propto \mathcal{P}^2 / w_0^2 \Longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \propto 1 / w_0^2, \dots$
- but, as  $\vec{P} \propto \vec{E} \nabla \vec{E} \sim E^2 / w_0$ , output power is proportional to squared incoming *intensity*!

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{64\pi^2}{c} \frac{(ql)^2}{w_0^4} |\Delta'|^2$$
  

$$\approx 10^{-4} \zeta (qa_B)^4 (ql)^2 f_b^2 \theta_1^4 \,\mathrm{W}^{-1}$$

$$\approx 10^{-24} \mathbf{W}^{-1}.$$

 Larger input power (but bounded intensity) might actually yield less output power!

#### Two Beam SHG



# Two Beam SHG



• Solution: Enhance transverse gradients with two beam SHG.

# An historical relic

Austin MM 03:

 $AK=A,-h_{2}$   $E_{j}\cdot DE_{j}+E_{j}\cdot DE_{j}$   $h_{1} \quad h_{2} \quad E_{j}\cdot A_{2}E_{3}+E_{3} \quad h_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ 1.1 sin<sup>2</sup>0 1.1 sin<sup>2</sup>0 1.0<sup>-2</sup> 12 k, + k\_ (3×10-2 10-4

#### Two Beam SHG

• Expect:  $(w_0/\lambda)^2$  enhancement
## Two Beam SHG

- Expect:  $(w_0/\lambda)^2$  enhancement
- but  $\vec{E_1} \cdot \nabla \vec{E_2} + \vec{E_2} \cdot \nabla \vec{E_1}$  is null if both beams are *s* polarized and longitudinal if both are *p* polarized  $\rightarrow$  no SHG.

#### Two Beam SHG

- Expect:  $(w_0/\lambda)^2$  enhancement
- but  $\vec{E_1} \cdot \nabla \vec{E_2} + \vec{E_2} \cdot \nabla \vec{E_1}$  is null if both beams are *s* polarized and longitudinal if both are *p* polarized  $\rightarrow$  no SHG.

With crossed polarization there is no *intensity* modulation but a polarization modulation that may produce many orders of magnitude enhancement of SHG.

Figliozzi et al., PRL **94**, 047401 (2005)

Liangfeng Sun et al., to appear in Optics Lett.



## Surface or bulk?



## Surface or bulk?



## Contrast...



## Why?

#### • Nanocrystals

 $\vec{P}_{nc} = \Gamma \nabla E^2 + \Delta' \vec{E} \cdot \nabla \vec{E}$ 

# Why?

## • Nanocrystals

$$\vec{P}_{nc} = \Gamma \nabla E^2 + \Delta' \vec{E} \cdot \nabla \vec{E}$$

• Glass

$$\vec{P}_g = \gamma_g \nabla E^2 + \delta'_g \vec{E} \cdot \nabla \vec{E}$$

## Why?

• Nanocrystals

$$\vec{P}_{nc} = \Gamma \nabla E^2 + \Delta' \vec{E} \cdot \nabla \vec{E}$$

Glass

$$\vec{P}_g = \gamma_g \nabla E^2 + \delta'_g \vec{E} \cdot \nabla \vec{E}$$

• By increasing  $\vec{E} \cdot \nabla \vec{E}$  both contributions should have been enhanced by the same amount. Contrast=  $|\Delta'/\delta'_a|^2$ .

## SHG from surface





- Large gradient close to surface, independent of beam profile.
- $\vec{p}^{(2)} = \gamma^e \vec{E_l} \cdot \nabla \vec{E_l} + \alpha_2 \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{T}{}^I \cdot \vec{p}^{(2)}.$
- Integrate over z and over area to obtain *dipolar*  $\chi_s^{(2)}$ .

## SHG from surface





## SHG from surface



Surface vs. bulk efficiency (s pol.)



$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_s}{\mathcal{E}_B} = \frac{2|\chi^s_{\perp\parallel\parallel}|^2}{|\Delta'|^2(q\ell)^2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\theta_1}\right)^2\right).$$

For Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> nanocrystals,  $\hbar \omega = 1.55 \text{eV}$ ,  $\ell = 1 \mu \text{m}$ ,  $w_0 = 10 \mu \text{m}$  at normal incidence:  $\sim 10^{-5}$ .





# Isotropic bulk ⇒ effective spherical shapes



Isotropic bulk ⇒ effective spherical shapes

 Anisotropic diffusion at surfaces and edges ⇒ anisotropic, non-spherical shapes

#### Non spherical particles

- $r = r_0 (1 + \sum_{lm} \xi_{lm} Y_{lm})$
- $xy \text{ isotropy} \Rightarrow m = 0$
- $\xi_{00} = 0$  if  $r_0$  =average radius.
- $\xi_{10} \Rightarrow$  C.M. translation.
- $\xi_{20} \Rightarrow$  centrosymmetric spheroidal deformation.
- $\xi_{30}$  is the lowest order deformation that breaks centrosymmetry and produces dipolar SH.

• 
$$r_0 = \left\langle \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\Omega \, r(\hat{\Omega}) \right\rangle.$$

- $\xi = \left\langle \int d\Omega r(\hat{\Omega}) Y_{30}(\hat{\Omega}) \right\rangle.$
- $r(\hat{\Omega}) = r_0(1 + \xi Y_{30}(\hat{\Omega}))$
- Assume small  $\xi$ .

#### Dipole moment

• Surface polarization

$$\vec{P}_s = \chi_s \left[ \frac{a}{\epsilon_1^2} \hat{n} (\hat{n} \cdot \vec{D})^2 + \frac{2b}{\epsilon_1} (\vec{E} - \hat{n}\hat{n} \cdot \vec{E}) \hat{n} \cdot \vec{D} + \hat{f}\hat{n} (E^2 - (\hat{n} \cdot \vec{E})^2) \right]$$

- Surface normal  $\hat{n} = \hat{r} \hat{\theta} \xi \, dY_{30}/d\theta$ .
- Screened linear field  $\vec{E} = L_{11}\vec{E_a}$ .
- $L_{lw} = (2l+1)/(l\epsilon_w + l + 1).$
- Total dipole  $\vec{p} = \int da \vec{P}_s + \text{linear screening at } 2\omega$ .

## Non linear polarizability

• 
$$\vec{p_i} = \alpha_{ijk} E^a_j E^a_k$$

• 
$$\alpha_{zzz} = -2A$$
,

• 
$$\alpha_{zxx} = \alpha_{xxz} = \alpha_{xzx} = A$$
,

•  $\alpha_{ijk} = 0$  if not equivalent to above.

• 
$$A = \xi r_0^2 \sqrt{\pi/7} \chi_s (4\epsilon_2 a - 8b - 4\epsilon_2 f) L_{11}^2 L_{12}$$

## Surface susceptibility of composite

- $\chi_{ijk} = nd\alpha_{ijk}$
- $\chi_{\perp\perp\perp} = -2X$
- $\chi_{\perp\parallel\parallel} = X$
- $\chi_{\parallel\parallel\perp} = \chi_{\parallel\perp\parallel} = X$ ,
- $X = n(d/r_0)\xi r_0^3 \sqrt{\pi/7}\chi_s(4\epsilon_2 a 8b 4\epsilon_2 f)L_{11}^2 L_{12}$

Angular dependence-large angles

- $\chi_{\perp \parallel \parallel} = \chi_{\parallel \parallel \perp} = \chi_{\parallel \perp \parallel} = -\chi_{\perp \perp \perp}/2$
- *p* polarization



• Maximum for transmission angle  $= \tan^{-1}(1/2)$ .

## Angular dependence-small angles, finite beam, p input





#### Angular dependence-small angles, finite beam, p input



Surface vs. bulk efficiency (p pol.)



$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_s}{\mathcal{E}_B} = \frac{2|X|^2}{|\Delta'|^2 (q\ell)^2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{36\alpha}{\theta_1}\right)^2\right)$$

For Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> nanocrystals,  $\hbar \omega = 1.55 \text{eV}$ ,  $\ell = 1 \mu \text{m}$ ,  $w_0 = 10 \mu \text{m}$ ,  $N\xi = 2$  at normal incidence:  $\sim 10$ .

#### Conclusions

- The surface of nanoparticles buried within composites may be observed with SHG.
- There is no forward radiation, but there is nearly forward coherent SHG from composites illuminated by finite beams.
- Output power cannot be boosted simply by increasing input power.
- SHG may be enhanced orders of magnitude using two cross-polarized beams.
- *Surface* SHG wouldn't be enhanced  $\Rightarrow$  Si nc/glass contrast.
- Local field gradients seem too small, but shape modifications might explain the change of contrast.